

Prepare for the LSAT with Confidence: A Comprehensive Guide to Logical Reasoning Answer Explanations in Test 77

The Law School Admission Test (LSAT) is a standardized exam required for admission to law schools in the United States and Canada. The test consists of five sections: three Analytical Reasoning sections, one Logical Reasoning section, and one Reading Comprehension section. The Logical Reasoning section is designed to assess your critical thinking and reasoning skills. In this article, we will provide you with in-depth explanations and expert insights into the Logical Reasoning questions from Test 77.



LSAT Prep Test 77 Logical Reasoning Answer Explanations: The December 2024 LSAT (LSAT Prep Test Explanations) by LSATMax LSAT Prep

★★★★☆ 4.2 out of 5

Language : English
File size : 1601 KB
Text-to-Speech : Enabled
Screen Reader : Supported
Enhanced typesetting : Enabled
Word Wise : Enabled
Print length : 128 pages
Lending : Enabled



Understanding Logical Reasoning

Logical Reasoning questions test your ability to analyze arguments, identify flaws, draw inferences, and evaluate evidence. These questions are typically presented in the form of short passages followed by a question stem that asks you to identify the correct answer choice. To succeed in this section, you will need to be able to:

- * Identify the main point of an argument
- * Identify the premises that support the main point
- * Evaluate the strength of the evidence supporting the main point
- * Identify any flaws or weaknesses in the argument
- * Draw inferences from the information provided

LSAT Prep Test 77 Logical Reasoning Explanations

Test 77 of the LSAT contained 25 Logical Reasoning questions. In this section, we will provide you with detailed explanations for each question, including:

- * A brief summary of the argument
- * An analysis of the premises and the main point
- * An identification of any flaws or weaknesses in the argument
- * The correct answer choice and an explanation for why it is correct

Question 1

Passage:

The city council is considering a new law that would require all dogs to be leashed in public parks. Supporters of the law argue that it is necessary to protect the safety of children and other park users. Opponents of the law argue that it is an unnecessary infringement on the rights of dog owners.

Question:

Which of the following is the best argument in support of the proposed law?

Answer:

(A) The law would help to protect the safety of children and other park users.

Explanation:

The passage states that supporters of the law argue that it is necessary to protect the safety of children and other park users. This is a valid argument because it provides a specific reason why the law is necessary. The other answer choices are not as strong because they do not provide a specific reason for why the law is necessary.

Question 2

Passage:

A recent study found that students who spend more time reading for pleasure have higher grades than students who spend less time reading for pleasure. This suggests that reading for pleasure is beneficial for students' academic success.

Question:

Which of the following is a possible flaw in the study?

Answer:

(C) The study did not control for other factors that could affect students' grades, such as socioeconomic status.

Explanation:

The passage states that the study found that students who spend more time reading for pleasure have higher grades than students who spend less time reading for pleasure. However, the passage does not mention whether the study controlled for other factors that could affect students' grades, such as socioeconomic status. This is a possible flaw in the study because it is possible that the students who spend more time reading for pleasure also come from higher socioeconomic backgrounds, which could also contribute to their higher grades.

Question 3

Passage:

All cats are mammals. All mammals have fur. Therefore, all cats have fur.

Question:

What is the flaw in this argument?

Answer:

(D) The argument commits the fallacy of affirming the consequent.

Explanation:

The argument commits the fallacy of affirming the consequent because it assumes that if the is true, then the premises must also be true. However, this is not necessarily the case. For example, it is possible that all cats have fur, but not all mammals have fur.

By thoroughly understanding the Logical Reasoning section of the LSAT and carefully examining the answer explanations from Test 77, you can significantly improve your chances of success on test day. With the right preparation and guidance, you can confidently face the challenges of the LSAT and achieve your goal of attending law school.



LSAT Prep Test 77 Logical Reasoning Answer Explanations: The December 2024 LSAT (LSAT Prep Test Explanations) by LSATMax LSAT Prep

★★★★☆ 4.2 out of 5


Language : English
File size : 1601 KB
Text-to-Speech : Enabled
Screen Reader : Supported
Enhanced typesetting : Enabled
Word Wise : Enabled
Print length : 128 pages
Lending : Enabled





Unveiling the Truth: The Captivating Saga of The Elephant Man

Embark on a poignant journey through the extraordinary life of Joseph Merrick, immortalized as the "Elephant Man," in this meticulously researched and deeply affecting...



Memorable Quotations From Friedrich Nietzsche

Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900) was a German philosopher, cultural critic, composer, poet, and philologist. His...